IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 10TH OCTOBER 2007 / 18TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 22842 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

SUSAN MATHEW, W/O MATHEW,
CHEMPAKATHINNAL, THADIYOOR P.O.,
AYIROOR VILLAGE, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.VINOY VARGHESE KALLUMOOTTILL

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
(WOMEN CELL), PATHANAMTHITTA.

3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOYIPARAM POLICE STATION.

4. PRAMOD, S/O SUDHAKARA KURUP,
THAKKUNILKKUNNATHIL VEEDU, THADIYOOR,
AYIROOR VILLAGE, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

5. PRASANNAN, S/O LATE SUDHAKARA KURUP,
THEKKUNILKKUNNATHIL VEEDU, THADIYOOR,
AYIROOR VILLAGE, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C) NO: 22842/2007 T

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BEFORE THE R3 DT. 16/7/2007 BY THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2. COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BEFORE THE R2, BY THE PETITIONER.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS NIL.

True copy

tga

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR &

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

W.P.(C) NO. 22842 OF 2007-T

Dated 10th October, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court, seeking police protection against the commissions and omissions of respondents 4 and 5, who are stated to be anti-social elements in the locality. They are harassing the petitioner and her daughter, it is alleged. So, after filing Exts.P1 and P2 representations before the police, this writ petition is filed, seeking the following reliefs:

> "(i) call for the records leading up to Exhibits P1 and P2 and issue writ or direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to provide adequate protection to the life of the petitioner and her family, residence and also to ensure that the petitioner's daughter Gincy Mathew is no way threatened by the 4th respondent and his men."

2. The learned Government Pleader, upon instructions, submitted that respondents 4 and 5 were summoned to the police station in the presence of the husband of the petitioner and the said respondents were strictly warned,

not to create any problem.

3. If there is any threat or harassment from the part of respondents 4 and 5, the petitioner may inform the 3rd respondent about the same. In that event, the 3rd respondent will look into the same and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Nm/